

## Quasicomplements

ROBERT C. JAMES

*Department of Mathematics, Claremont Graduate School, Claremont, California 91711*

*Communicated by Oved Shisha*

DEDICATED TO PROFESSOR J. L. WALSH ON THE OCCASION OF HIS 75TH BIRTHDAY

It will be shown that if  $X_1, X_2$  and  $Y$  are subspaces of a separable normed linear space  $T$  for which  $X_1 \subset X_2$ ,  $\text{Cl}(X_2 + Y)$  has infinite codimension, and  $d(X_1, S_H) = 0$  if  $S_H$  is the unit sphere of a subspace  $H$  of  $Y$  with finite codimension in  $Y$ , then for any positive  $\epsilon$  there is a subspace  $\tilde{Y}$  of  $T$  such that  $Y$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  is a quasicomplement for all subspaces  $Z$  such that  $X_1 \subset Z \subset \text{Cl}(X_2 + Y)$ . If  $X$  and  $Y$  are subspaces of  $T$  for which  $\bar{X}$  has infinite codimension, then  $X$  has a quasicomplement  $\tilde{Y}$  for which either  $\bar{Y}$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to a complemented subspace of  $\tilde{Y}$  or  $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $\tilde{Y}$  (Theorem 2). If  $X$  and  $Y$  are closed quasicomplements but not complements in a separable reflexive Banach space, there exist subspaces  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  such that  $Y_1 \subset Y \subset Y_2$ ,  $Y_1$  is of infinite codimension in  $Y$ ,  $Y$  has infinite codimension in  $Y_2$ , and  $Z$  is a quasicomplement for  $X$  if  $Y_1 \subset Z \subset Y_2$ . The existence of  $Y_1$  does not depend on reflexivity.

By a subspace  $X$  of a normed linear space  $T$  being  $\epsilon$ -near to a subspace  $Y$  we shall mean that there is an isomorphism  $L$  of  $X$  onto  $Y$  with

$$\|x - L(x)\| \leq \epsilon \|x\| \quad \text{for all } x. \tag{1}$$

It is instructive to note that if  $X$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $Y$ , then it follows from (1) that  $\|L(x)\| \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|x\|$ . Also,

$$\|x\| \leq \|x - L(x)\| + \|L(x)\| \leq \epsilon \|x\| + \|L(x)\|,$$

so that  $(1 - \epsilon)\|x\| \leq \|L(x)\|$ . Thus  $X$  and  $Y$  are  $\epsilon$ -isometric in the sense described in [3], i.e.,

$$(1 - \epsilon)\|x\| \leq \|L(x)\| \leq (1 + \epsilon)\|x\|.$$

A *complement* for a subspace  $X$  of a normed linear space  $T$  is a subspace  $Y$  for which  $X + Y = T$  and  $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y} = \{0\}$ . A *quasicomplement* for a subspace  $X$  of a normed linear space  $T$  is a subspace  $Y$  for which  $X + Y$  is dense in  $T$  and  $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y} = \{0\}$ . If  $T$  is complete, then quasicomplements  $X$  and  $Y$  are

complements if and only if there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  for which  $\|x - y\| > \epsilon \|x\|$  if  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ .

It was shown by Murray that all subspaces of reflexive separable Banach spaces have quasicomplements [6, p. 93, Corollary]. He also showed that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are quasicomplements that are not complements, then  $X$  has quasicomplements  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  for which  $Y_1 \subset Y \subset Y_2$  and neither  $Y_1$  nor  $Y_2$  is  $Y$  [6, p. 94, Theorem].

The theorem of Murray was generalized by Mackey, who showed that all closed subspaces of a separable normed linear space have quasicomplements [5, p. 322]. Lindenstrauss has shown that if  $X$  is a closed subspace of a Banach space  $B$ , then  $X$  has a quasicomplement in  $B$  if both  $X$  and  $B$  are  $w$ -compactly generated [4], i.e., if there is a  $w$ -compact set  $S$  whose closed linear span is  $X$  (or  $B$ ). However, there exist Banach spaces with subspaces that have no quasicomplements [4], although all subspaces of  $l^\infty$  have quasicomplements [7].

Guarii and Kadec proved that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are infinite-dimensional subspaces of a separable Banach space  $B$  for which  $X \subset Y$  and  $Y$  has infinite codimension, then  $Y$  has a quasicomplement  $\tilde{X}$  that is  $\epsilon$ -isometric to  $X$ , and also  $X$  has a quasicomplement  $\tilde{Y}$  that is  $\epsilon$ -isometric to  $Y$  [3, p. 968, Theorem 4]. It follows from this theorem that any infinite-dimensional subspace of a separable Banach space has a quasicomplement with a basis and that any separable Banach space has subspaces  $X$  and  $Y$  that are quasicomplements and have bases [3, p. 968, Theorem 5 and Corollary 2]. Moreover, if  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $X$  is an infinite-dimensional subspace of a separable Banach space  $B$  in which  $X$  has infinite codimension, then  $X$  has a quasicomplement  $Y$  that is  $\epsilon$ -isometric (and  $\epsilon$ -near) to  $X$ . Also, any separable Banach space that contains a reflexive subspace (or a subspace isomorphic to Hilbert space) is the closed linear span of two reflexive spaces with bases (or two subspaces isomorphic to Hilbert space); if the space is nonreflexive, it also is the closed linear span of two nonreflexive subspaces with bases.

Suppose  $X$  is an infinite-dimensional subspace of a separable Banach space  $B$  in which  $X$  has infinite codimension. The argument to be employed in Theorem 1 via biorthogonal sequences can be illustrated simply by the following outline of the proof via bases that if  $Y \subset \bar{X}$ , if  $\bar{X}$  has infinite codimension, and if  $\bar{Y}$  has a basis  $\{y_n\}$ , then  $X$  has a quasicomplement  $\tilde{Y}$  that is isomorphic to  $Y$ . It is well known that  $\{y_n\}$  is a basis for its closed linear span if and only if there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  for which

$$\left\| \sum_1^{n+p} a_i y_i \right\| \geq \epsilon \left\| \sum_1^n a_i y_i \right\|$$

for all  $n, p$ , and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+p}$  [1, p. 111; 2]. If  $\sum_1^\infty \|\omega_n\| \|y_n\| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ , then

$\{y_n + \omega_n\}$  is a basis for its closed linear span and  $\sum a_i y_i \rightarrow \sum a_i (y_i + \omega_i)$  defines an isomorphism of  $Y$  onto a subspace  $\tilde{Y}$  of  $\text{Cl} [\text{lin} \{y_n + \omega_n\}]$ . Now choose  $\{\omega_n\}$  so that  $\text{lin} \{\omega_n\}$  is dense in  $B$ ,  $\sum_1^\infty \|\omega_n\|/\|y_n\| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ , and

(a)  $d(\omega_n, \text{lin} \{\bar{X}, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}\}) = \epsilon_n > 0$ ,

(b)  $\sum_{n+1}^\infty \|\omega_i\| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_n$ .

Let  $Z = \text{Cl} [\text{lin} \{y_n + \omega_n\}]$ . Then  $\bar{X} + Z$  contains each  $\omega_n$  and therefore is dense in  $B$ , so that  $X + \tilde{Y}$  is dense in  $B$ . If  $u \in \bar{X} \cap Z$  and  $u \neq 0$ , then

$$u = \sum_1^\infty a_i (y_i + \omega_i).$$

Since  $\bar{Y} \subset \bar{X}$ , it follows that  $\sum_1^\infty a_i \omega_i \in \bar{X}$ . If  $n$  is chosen so that  $|a_n| > \frac{1}{2} \sup |a_i|$ , then it follows from (a) that

$$\left\| \sum_{n+1}^\infty a_i \omega_i \right\| = \left\| a_n \omega_n - \left( \sum_1^\infty a_i \omega_i - \sum_1^{n-1} a_i \omega_i \right) \right\| > \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_n \sup |a_i|.$$

This is contradictory to the following inequality obtained from (b):

$$\left\| \sum_{n+1}^\infty a_i \omega_i \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_n \sup |a_i|.$$

Before stating the principal theorem, certain more-or-less known facts are summarized for completeness in the next two lemmas. A *biorthogonal sequence* is a sequence  $\{(y_n, g_n)\}$  for which  $g_i(y_j) = \delta_j^i$ ; it is *normalized* if  $\|y_n\| = 1$  for each  $n$ ; it is *fundamental* for  $Y$  if  $\text{lin} \{y_n\}$  is dense in  $Y$ ; and it is *total* for  $Y$  if  $y = 0$  whenever  $g_n(y) = 0$  for all  $y$ .

LEMMA 1. *Let  $Y$  be a separable subspace of a normed linear space  $T$  and  $\{(y_n, g_n)\}$  be a fundamental biorthogonal sequence for  $Y$ . If  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , if*

$$\sum_1^\infty \|g_i\| \|\omega_i\| < \epsilon, \tag{2}$$

and if  $\tilde{Y} = \text{Cl} [\text{lin} \{y_n + \omega_n\}]$ , then

(i)  $\|\tilde{g}_k\| \leq \|g_k\|/(1 - \epsilon) \leq \|\tilde{g}_k\|/(1 - 2\epsilon)$ , where  $\tilde{g}_k$  is the continuous linear functional on  $\tilde{Y}$  for which  $\tilde{g}_k(y_j + \omega_j) = \delta_k^j$ ;

(ii)  $\{g_i\}$  is total for  $\bar{Y}$  if and only if  $\{\tilde{g}_i\}$  is total for  $\tilde{Y}$ ;

(iii)  $\bar{Y}$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $\tilde{Y}$ .

*Proof.* To prove (i), note that if  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , then

$$|a_k| \leq \|g_k\| \left\| \sum_1^n a_i y_i \right\|. \quad (3)$$

It follows from this and (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_1^n a_i (y_i + \omega_i) \right\| &\geq \left\| \sum_1^n a_i y_i \right\| - \sum_1^n |a_i| \|\omega_i\| \\ &\geq \left\| \sum_1^n a_i y_i \right\| - \left\| \sum_1^n a_i y_i \right\| \sum_1^\infty \|g_i\| \|\omega_i\| \\ &\geq (1 - \epsilon) \left\| \sum_1^n a_i y_i \right\| \geq (1 - \epsilon) |a_k| / \|g_k\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $|\tilde{g}_k [\sum_1^n a_i (y_i + \omega_i)]| = |a_k| \leq \|\sum_1^n a_i (y_i + \omega_i)\| \|g_k\| / (1 - \epsilon)$  and

$$\|\tilde{g}_k\| \leq \frac{\|g_k\|}{1 - \epsilon}. \quad (4)$$

From this,  $\sum_1^\infty \|\tilde{g}_i\| \|\omega_i\| < \epsilon / (1 - \epsilon)$ , so that it follows from (4) that

$$\|g_k\| \leq \frac{\|\tilde{g}_k\|}{1 - \epsilon / (1 - \epsilon)} \quad \text{or} \quad \|g_k\| \leq \frac{1 - \epsilon}{1 - 2\epsilon} \|\tilde{g}_k\|.$$

To prove (ii), suppose that  $\{\tilde{g}_n\}$  is not total for  $\tilde{Y}$ , so that there is a  $u$  with  $\|u\| = 1$  that belongs to  $\text{Cl}[\text{lin}\{y_i + \omega_i : i \geq n\}]$  for all  $n$ . Then for any  $\delta > 0$  there is a finite sum for which

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - \sum_n b_i (y_i + \omega_i)\| &< \delta, \\ |b_i| < \delta \|\tilde{g}_i\| + |\tilde{g}_i(u)| &\leq (1 + \delta) \|\tilde{g}_i\| \leq (1 + \delta) \|g_i\| / (1 - \epsilon), \quad \text{and} \\ \|u - \sum_n b_i y_i\| &< \delta + \|\sum_n b_i \omega_i\| \\ &< \delta + \frac{1 + \delta}{1 - \epsilon} \sum_n \|g_i\| \|\omega_i\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\delta$  was arbitrary and the last summation approaches zero as  $n$  increases, it follows that  $u \in \text{Cl}[\text{lin}\{y_i : i \geq n\}]$  for all  $n$  and  $\{g_i\}$  is not total for  $\bar{Y}$ . It follows by a similar argument that  $\{\tilde{g}_i\}$  is not total for  $\tilde{Y}$  if  $\{g_i\}$  is not total for  $\bar{Y}$ .

To prove (iii), define a linear map  $L$  from  $\text{lin}\{y_n\}$  onto  $\text{lin}\{y_n + \omega_n\}$  by letting

$$L\left(\sum_1^n a_i y_i\right) = \sum_1^n a_i (y_i + \omega_i).$$

It follows from (2) and (3) that

$$\left\| \sum_1^n a_i y_i - \sum_1^n a_i (y_i + \omega_i) \right\| = \left\| \sum_1^n a_i \omega_i \right\| \leq \epsilon \cdot \sup \frac{|a_i|}{\|g_i\|} \leq \epsilon \left\| \sum_1^n a_i y_i \right\|.$$

Therefore, for all  $y$  in  $\text{lin}\{y_i\}$ ,  $\|y - L(y)\| \leq \epsilon \|y\|$ . If  $L$  is extended to be continuous on  $\bar{Y}$ , then  $L$  and  $L^{-1}$  are continuous and the range of  $L$  is  $\bar{Y}$ .

LEMMA 2. *Let  $Y$  be a separable normed linear space and suppose that to each subspace  $H$  of finite codimension in  $Y$  there is associated a nonempty subset  $P_H$  of the unit sphere of  $H$ . Then  $Y$  has a normalized biorthogonal sequence  $\{(y_n, g_n)\}$  that has the properties:*

- (i)  $\{y_n\}$  is fundamental and  $\{g_n\}$  is total for  $Y$ ;
- (ii)  $y_{3k+1} \in P_H$ , where  $H = \{y : g_i(y) = 0 \text{ for } i < 3k + 1\}$ ;
- (iii)  $\|g_n\| \leq 2^{n-1}$  for each  $n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{\eta_n\}$  be dense in the unit sphere of  $Y$  and  $\{U_n\}$  be a sequence of balls with radii  $1/6$  and  $\eta_n$  the center of  $U_n$  for each  $n$ . Choose  $\{(y_n, f_n)\}$  inductively so that  $\|y_n\| = \|f_n\| = f_n(y_n) = 1$  for each  $n$  and

- (a)  $f_i(y_n) = 0$  if  $i < n$ ;
- (b)  $y_{3k+1} \in P_H$ , where  $H = \{y : f_i(y) = 0 \text{ for } i < 3k + 1\}$ ,
- (c)  $\eta_{k+1} \in \text{lin}\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{3k+2}\}$  if  $k \geq 0$ ,
- (d)  $\|y_{3k+3} - \eta_{k+1}\| < 1/3$  if  $U_{k+1}$  has any nonzero member  $y$  for which  $f_i(y) = 0$  if  $i \leq 3k + 2$ .

Whatever the choices of  $f_1, \dots, f_{n-1}$ , there is a  $y_n$  of unit norm that satisfies (a) and an  $f_n$  with  $\|f_n\| = f_n(y_n) = 1$ ; by assumption, condition (b) can be satisfied; (c) can be satisfied by letting  $y_{3k+2}$  be a linear combination of  $\{y_1, \dots, y_{3k+1}, \eta_{k+1}\}$  if  $\eta_{k+1} \notin \text{lin}\{y_1, \dots, y_{3k+1}\}$ ; a little computation shows that (d) is satisfied if  $y_{3k+3} = y/\|y\|$ .

It follows from (c) that  $\{y_n\}$  is fundamental. Let  $\{g_n\}$  be defined so that  $g_i(y_j) = \delta_j^i$ . If  $\{g_n\}$  is not total, there is a  $y$  with  $\|y\| = 1$  for which  $y \in \text{Cl}[\text{lin}\{y_i : i \geq n\}]$  for all  $n$ . Then  $f_n(y) = 0$  for all  $n$ , so that it follows from (d) and the density of  $\{\eta_n\}$  that there is a  $y_{3k+3}$  for which  $\|y - y_{3k+3}\| < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $|f_{3k+3}(y - y_{3k+3})| < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $f_{3k+3}(y_{3k+3}) = 1$ , which imply that  $|f_{3k+3}(y)| > \frac{1}{2}$ .

Now suppose there is an  $n$  for which  $\|g_n\| > 2^{n-1}$ . Then there are numbers  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  and an  $h$  such that  $f_i(h) = 0$  if  $i \leq n$  and

$$\left\| y_n - \left( \sum_1^{n-1} a_i y_i + h \right) \right\| < 2^{1-n}.$$

By using  $f_1$ , we obtain  $|a_1| < 2^{1-n}$ . If  $|a_i| < 2^{i-n}$  for  $i < k < n$ , then it follows from  $|\sum_1^{k-1} a_i f_k(y_i) + a_k| < 2^{1-n}$  that

$$|a_k| < 2^{1-n} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 2^{i-n} = 2^{k-n},$$

so that  $|a_i| < 2^{i-n}$  for  $i < n$ . Therefore, it follows from

$$\left| f_n(y_n) - \sum_1^{n-1} a_i f_n(y_i) \right| < 2^{1-n}$$

that  $1 < 2^{1-n} + \sum_1^{n-1} |a_i| < 2^{1-n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2^{i-n} = 1$ , which completes the proof.

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are subspaces of a normed linear space and  $X \cap Y$  is infinite dimensional, then the set  $P_H$  of the preceding lemma could be the unit sphere of  $X \cap H$ . In the next theorem, this is generalized somewhat so that  $P_H$  is merely close to  $X$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $X_1, X_2$ , and  $Y$  be subspaces of a separable normed linear space  $T$  for which  $X_1 \subset X_2$ ,  $\text{Cl}(X_2 + Y)$  has infinite codimension, and  $d(X_1, S_H) = 0$  if  $S_H$  is the unit sphere of a subspace  $H$  of  $Y$  with finite codimension in  $Y$ . Then for any positive  $\epsilon$  there is a subspace  $\tilde{Y}$  of  $T$  such that  $Y$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  is a quasicomplement for all subspaces  $Z$  such that*

$$X_1 \subset Z \subset \text{Cl}(X_2 + Y).$$

*Proof.* There is no loss of generality if we assume that  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $\{U_n\}$  be a sequence of balls with radii less than 1 and centers on the unit sphere of  $T$  for which  $\text{lin}\{u_n\}$  is dense in  $T$  if  $u_n \in U_n$  for each  $n$ . Let  $\rho_n$  be the radius of  $U_n$  and let  $\{\beta_n\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers for which

- (a)  $\sum_1^\infty 2^{i-1} \beta_i < \epsilon$ ,
- (b)  $2^{i-1} \beta_i < \rho_n \beta_n (1 - 2\epsilon)/(128 \cdot 2^{i-n})$  if  $i > n$ .

If  $H$  is a subspace of  $Y$  with codimension  $3k$ , let  $P_H$  of Lemma 2 be

$$P_H = \{h : \|h\| = 1 \text{ and } d(h, X_1) < \frac{1}{8} \rho_k \beta_{3k+1}\}.$$

It then follows from Lemma 2 that there is a normalized biorthogonal sequence  $\{(y_n, g_n)\}$  for which  $\{y_n\}$  is fundamental and  $\{g_n\}$  is total for  $Y$ ,  $d(y_{3k+1}, X_1) < \frac{1}{8} \rho_k \beta_{3k+1}$ , and  $\|g_n\| \leq 2^{n-1}$  for all  $n$ . Choose a sequence  $\{\omega_n\}$  inductively so that

- (c)  $\frac{1}{2} \beta_n < \|\omega_n\| < \beta_n$  for each  $n$ ,
- (d)  $d(\omega_n, \text{lin}\{X_2 + Y, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}\}) > \frac{1}{8} \rho_n \|\omega_n\|$  for all  $n$ ,

(e) for each  $k$ , there is an  $x_{3k+1}$  in  $X_1$  and  $\mu_{3k+1}$  in  $T$  such that some scalar multiple of  $\mu_{3k+1}$  belongs to  $U_{3k+1}$  and

$$y_{3k+1} + \omega_{3k+1} = x_{3k+1} + \mu_{3k+1}.$$

Clearly (c) and (d) can be satisfied for all  $n$ , if these and (e) can be satisfied for  $n = 3k + 1$ . Suppose that  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{3k}$  have been chosen and let  $V = \text{lin}\{X_2 + Y, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{3k}\}$ . Since the center of  $U_n$  has unit norm,  $\|u_n\| \leq 1 + \rho_n < 2$  if  $u_n \in U_n$ . Choose  $u_n$  so that

$$\|u_n - v\| > \frac{2}{3} \rho_n > \frac{1}{3} \rho_n \|u_n\| \quad \text{if } v \in V. \tag{5}$$

Let

$$\mu_{3k+1} = \frac{3}{4} \beta_{3k+1} \frac{u_{3k+1}}{\|u_{3k+1}\|}. \tag{6}$$

Choose an  $x_{3k+1}$  in  $X_1$  for which

$$\|y_{3k+1} - x_{3k+1}\| < \frac{1}{8} \rho_n \beta_{3k+1}. \tag{7}$$

If  $\omega_{3k+1} = x_{3k+1} + \mu_{3k+1} - y_{3k+1}$ , then (e) is satisfied and

$$\|\mu_{3k+1}\| - \|x_{3k+1} - y_{3k+1}\| \leq \|\omega_{3k+1}\| \leq \|\mu_{3k+1}\| + \|x_{3k+1} - y_{3k+1}\|,$$

so that it follows from (6), (7), and  $\rho_{3k+1} < 1$  that

$$\frac{1}{2} \beta_{3k+1} < \|\omega_{3k+1}\| < \beta_{3k+1} \tag{8}$$

and (c) is satisfied. To show that (d) is satisfied, note first that if  $v \in V$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_{3k+1} - v\| &= \|x_{3k+1} + \mu_{3k+1} - y_{3k+1} - v\| \\ &\geq \|\mu_{3k+1} - v\| - \|x_{3k+1} - y_{3k+1}\|. \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from (5) and (7), and then (6) and (7), that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\omega_{3k+1} - v\| &\geq \frac{1}{3} \rho_{3k+1} \|\mu_{3k+1}\| - \frac{1}{8} \rho_{3k+1} \beta_{3k+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \rho_{3k+1} \beta_{3k+1} > \frac{1}{8} \rho_{3k+1} \|\omega_{3k+1}\|, \end{aligned}$$

so that (d) is satisfied.

Let  $\tilde{Y} \subset \text{Cl}[\text{lin}\{y_n + \omega_n\}]$  be the image of  $Y$  for the linear map  $L$  defined by letting  $L(\sum_1^n a_i y_i) = \sum_1^n a_i (y_i + \omega_i)$  and extending  $L$  to  $Y$ . Since

$$\sum_1^\infty \|g_i\| \|\omega_i\| < \sum_1^\infty 2^{i-1} \beta_i < \epsilon,$$

it follows from (iii) of Lemma 1 that  $Y$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $\tilde{Y}$ . It follows from (e) and the choice of the spheres  $\{U_n\}$  that  $X_1 + \tilde{Y}$  is dense in  $T$ , and from this

that  $Z + \tilde{Y}$  is dense in  $T$  if  $X_1 \subset Z \subset \text{Cl}(X_2 + Y)$ . To complete the proof, we need only show that  $\text{Cl}(X_2 + Y) \cap \text{Cl}(\tilde{Y}) = \{0\}$ . Suppose that  $v \in \text{Cl}(X_2 + Y) \cap \text{Cl}(\tilde{Y})$  and  $\|v\| = 1$ . For each  $i$ , let  $\tilde{g}_i$  be the continuous linear functional on  $\tilde{Y}$  for which  $\tilde{g}_i(y_j + \omega_j) = \delta_j^i$  for all  $j$ . Then it follows from Lemma 1 that  $\{\tilde{g}_n\}$  is total for  $\text{Cl}(\tilde{Y})$ . Thus  $0 < \sigma \leq 1$  if

$$\sigma = \sup_{i \geq 1} \frac{|\tilde{g}_i(v)|}{\|\tilde{g}_i\|}.$$

Choose  $n$  such that  $|\tilde{g}_n(v)| > \frac{1}{2} \sigma \|\tilde{g}_n\|$  and then choose a finite sequence  $\{b_i\}$  for which

$$\left\| v - \left[ \sum_1^n \tilde{g}_i(v)(y_i + \omega_i) + \sum_{n+1} b_i(y_i + \omega_i) \right] \right\| < \kappa, \quad (9)$$

where  $\kappa$  is the smaller of  $(1 - 2\epsilon) \sigma \rho_n \beta_n / [64(1 - \epsilon)]$  and  $\sigma$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \tilde{g}_n(v) \omega_n - \left[ v - \sum_1^{n-1} \tilde{g}_i(v)(y_i + \omega_i) - \tilde{g}_n(v) y_n - \sum_{n+1} b_i y_i \right] \right\| \\ & < \kappa + \sum_{n+1} \|b_i \omega_i\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v \in \text{Cl}(X_2 + Y)$ , it follows from this inequality, (d) and the choice of  $n$  that

$$\frac{1}{16} \rho_n \sigma \|\tilde{g}_n\| \|\omega_n\| < \kappa + \sum_{n+1} \|b_i \omega_i\|.$$

If  $i > n$ , it follows from (9) that  $|\tilde{g}_i(v) - b_i| < \kappa \|\tilde{g}_i\|$ , so that

$$|b_i| < \kappa \|\tilde{g}_i\| + \sigma \|\tilde{g}_i\|,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{16} \rho_n \sigma \|\tilde{g}_n\| \|\omega_n\| < \kappa + (\kappa + \sigma) \sum_{n+1} \|\tilde{g}_i\| \|\omega_i\|.$$

Now it follows from (i) of Lemma 1,  $\|g_n\| \|\omega_n\| > \frac{1}{2} \beta_n$ ,  $\kappa \leq \sigma$ ,  $\|g_i\| \leq 2^{i-1}$  and (8) that

$$\frac{1 - 2\epsilon}{32(1 - \epsilon)} \sigma \rho_n \beta_n < \kappa + \frac{2\sigma}{1 - \epsilon} \sum_{n+1} \|g_i\| \|\omega_i\| < \kappa + \frac{2\sigma}{1 - \epsilon} \sum_{n+1} 2^{i-1} \beta_i.$$

This and (b) imply that

$$\frac{1 - 2\epsilon}{32(1 - \epsilon)} \sigma \rho_n \beta_n < \kappa + \frac{1 - 2\epsilon}{64(1 - \epsilon)} \sigma \rho_n \beta_n.$$



Since  $\kappa < (1 - 2\epsilon) \sigma \rho_n \beta_n / [64(1 - \epsilon)]$ , we have a contradiction and it has been proved that  $\text{Cl}(X_2 + Y) \cap \text{Cl}(\tilde{Y}) = \{0\}$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be subspaces of a separable normed linear space  $T$  for which  $X \cap Y$  is infinite dimensional and  $\text{Cl}(X + Y)$  has infinite codimension. Then for any positive  $\epsilon$  there is a subspace  $\tilde{Y}$  of  $T$  such that  $Y$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  is a quasicomplement for all  $Z$  such that*

$$X \cap Y \subset Z \subset \text{Cl}(X + Y).$$

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $H$  be an infinite dimensional subspace of a normed linear space  $T$  and let  $W$  be any closed subspace of infinite codimension in  $T$  that contains  $H$ . Then for any positive  $\epsilon$  and any subspace  $Y$  with  $H \subset Y \subset W$ , there is a subspace  $\tilde{Y}$  such that  $Y$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  is a quasicomplement for all  $Z$  such that  $H \subset Z \subset W$ .*

*Proof.* For Corollary 1, let  $X \cap Y = X_1$  and  $\text{Cl}(X + Y) = X_2$  and apply Theorem 1. For Corollary 2, apply Theorem 1 again with  $X_1 = H$  and  $X_2 = W$ .

The next theorem summarizes the types of quasicomplements of  $X$  that can be built from an arbitrary subspace  $Y$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be subspaces of a normed linear space  $T$  for which  $\bar{X}$  has infinite codimension. For any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $X$  has a quasicomplement  $\tilde{Y}$ . If  $\text{Cl}(X + Y)$  has finite codimension, then  $\tilde{Y}$  can be chosen, so that*

(i) *If  $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}$  is finite dimensional,  $\bar{Y}$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to a complemented subspace of  $\tilde{Y}$ .*

*Whatever the codimension of  $\text{Cl}(X + Y)$ ,*

(ii) *If  $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}$  [or  $X \cap Y$ ] is infinite dimensional, then  $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  is a quasicomplement for all  $Z$  such that*

$$\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y} \subset Z \subset \bar{X} \text{ [or } X \cap Y \subset Z \subset \bar{X}].$$

*If  $X$  is infinite dimensional and  $\text{Cl}(X + Y)$  has infinite codimension, then  $\tilde{Y}$  can be chosen so that*

(iii)  *$\text{Cl}(X + Y)$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  is a quasicomplement for all  $Z$  such that  $X \subset Z \subset \text{Cl}(X + Y)$ ; or*

(iv) *if  $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}$  [or  $X \cap Y$ ] is infinite-dimensional, then  $\tilde{Y}$  can be chosen so that  $Y$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  is a quasicomplement for all  $Z$  such that*

$$\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y} \subset Z \subset \text{Cl}(X + Y) \text{ [or } X \cap Y \subset Z \subset \text{Cl}(X + Y)].$$

*Proof.* (i) If  $\text{Cl}(X + Y)$  has finite codimension and  $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}$  is finite dimensional, there is a subspace  $Y_0$  of  $\bar{Y}$  which has finite codimension in  $\bar{Y}$

and for which  $\bar{X} \cap Y_0 = \{0\}$ . Also,  $\text{Cl}(X + Y_0)$  has finite codimension in  $T$ , so that there is a finite-dimensional subspace  $V$  of  $T$  such that  $Y_0 + V$  is a quasicomplement of  $X$ . If  $U$  is a finite-dimensional complement of  $Y_0$  in  $\bar{Y}$ , then  $V$  can be chosen so that  $U$  is sufficiently near a subspace  $U_1$  of  $V$  that  $\bar{Y}$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $Y_0 + U_1$ .

- (ii) Let  $H$  of Corollary 2 be  $\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}$  (or  $X \cap Y$ ) and let  $W$  be  $\bar{X}$ .
- (iii) Let  $H$  of Corollary 2 be  $X$  and both  $W$  and  $Y$  be  $\text{Cl}(X + Y)$ .
- (iv) This is Corollary 1 applied to  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$  (or  $X$  and  $Y$ ).

It was shown by Murray [6, p. 94, Theorem] that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are quasicomplements but not complements in a reflexive Banach space, then there are quasicomplements  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  for  $X$  such that  $Y_1 \subset Y \subset Y_2$  and neither  $Y_1$  nor  $Y_2$  is equal to  $Y$ . Actually, the constructions used yield  $Y_1$  of finite codimension in  $Y$  and  $Y$  of finite codimension in  $Y_2$ . Mackey notes [5, pp. 324–325] that reflexivity is not needed, but that completeness is critical for Murray’s arguments. The next two theorems strengthen these results.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be closed quasicomplements in a normed linear space  $T$ . If the closures of  $X$  and  $Y$  in the completion of  $T$  are not complements, then  $X$  has a closed quasicomplement  $Y_1$  for which  $Y_1 \subset Y$  and  $Y_1$  has infinite codimension in  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* Since the closures of  $X$  and  $Y$  in the completion of  $T$  are not complements,  $d(X, S_H) = 0$  if  $S_H$  is the unit sphere of a subspace  $H$  of finite codimension in  $Y$ . Therefore it follows from Lemma 2 that  $Y$  has a normalized biorthogonal sequence  $\{(y_n, g_n)\}$  for which

- (a)  $\{y_n\}$  is fundamental and  $\{g_n\}$  is total for  $Y$ ,
- (b)  $d(y_{3k+1}, X) < (3k + 1)^{-1} \prod_{i=2}^{3k+1} 2^{-2i}$ ,
- (c)  $\|g_n\| \leq 2^{n-1}$  for each  $n$ .

Let  $p(n, i)$  be a one-to-one map of ordered pairs  $(n, i)$  of positive integers onto the set of positive integers such that for each  $n$  the sequence  $\{p(n, i)\}$  contains infinitely many integers of type  $3k + 1$ . Let

$$\lambda_{p(n,i)} = 2^{-2p(n,i+1)}, \tag{10}$$

let  $Y_1$  be the closed linear span of all  $\lambda_{p(n,i)} y_{p(n,i)} - y_{p(n,i+1)}$ , and  $Z_1$  be the closed linear span of all  $y_{p(n,1)}$ . Clearly  $\text{Cl}(Y_1 + Z_1) = Y$ .

To show that  $Y_1$  has infinite codimension in  $Y$ , it is sufficient to show that  $Y_1 \cap Z_1 = \{0\}$ . Suppose  $y \in Y_1 \cap Z_1$  and  $\|y\| = 1$ . Since  $\{g_n\}$  is total for  $Y$  and  $y \in Z_1$ , there exist  $a_1 \neq 0$  and  $n$  such that

$$y = a_1 \lambda_{p(n,1)} y_{p(n,1)} + h,$$

where  $h \in \text{Cl}[\{\text{lin}\{y_i : i > p(n, 1)\}\}]$ . Since  $y \in Y_1$ , there is a sequence of numbers  $\{a_i\}$  such that, for any  $k$ , there is an  $h$  for which

$$\left\| y - \left( \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (\lambda_{p(n,i)} y_{p(n,i)} - y_{p(n,i+1)}) + h \right) \right\| < \frac{1}{4} |a_1| 2^{1-p(n,2)}, \quad (11)$$

where  $h \in \text{Cl}[\{\text{lin}\{\lambda_{p(m,i)} - y_{p(m,i+1)} : m \neq n \text{ or } i > k\}\}]$ . Since  $y \in Z_1$ , it follows that  $g_{p(n,i+1)}(y) = 0$  if  $i \geq 1$  and therefore that we can use (c) to obtain

$$|a_i - \lambda_{p(n,i+1)} a_{i+1}| < \frac{1}{4} |a_1| 2^{p(n,i+1)-p(n,2)} \text{ for } 1 \leq i < k.$$

Now multiply by suitable  $\lambda$ 's and add, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| a_1 - \left( \prod_{i=2}^k \lambda_{p(n,i)} \right) a_k \right| \\ & < \frac{1}{4} |a_1| 2^{-p(n,2)} \left( 2^{p(n,2)} + 2^{p(n,3)} \lambda_{p(n,2)} + \dots + 2^{p(n,k)} \prod_{i=2}^{k-1} \lambda_{p(n,i)} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} |a_1| 2^{-p(n,2)} \left( 2^{p(n,2)} + \sum_{i=3}^k 2^{-p(n,i)} \right) \\ & < \frac{1}{4} |a_1| \sum_0^\infty 2^{-i} = \frac{1}{2} |a_1|, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$|a_k| > \frac{1}{2} |a_1| \left[ \prod_{i=2}^k \lambda_{p(n,i)} \right]^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} |a_1| \prod_{i=2}^k 2^{2p(n,i+1)}. \quad (12)$$

It follows from (c) and (10) that

$$\sum_1^\infty \lambda_i \|g_i\| \leq \sum_1^\infty \lambda_i 2^{i-1} < \sum_1^\infty 2^{-2(i+1)} 2^{i-1} = \frac{1}{8}.$$

This together with (11) and (i) of Lemma 1 implies that

$$|a_k| \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4} |a_1| 2^{1-p(n,2)} \right) \frac{8}{7} \|g_{p(n,k+1)}\| < \left( 1 + \frac{1}{4} |a_1| \right) 2^{p(n,k+1)}. \quad (13)$$

Whatever the value of  $a_1$ , (12) and (13) are contradictory if  $k$  is sufficiently large.

To show that  $Y_1$  is a quasicomplement for  $X$ , it is sufficient to show that  $X + Y_1$  is dense in  $T$ . To do this, it is sufficient to show that  $y_{p(n,1)}$  belongs to  $\text{Cl}(X + Y_1)$  for each  $n$ . Let  $p(n, k)$  be of type  $3p + 1$ . It follows from (b) that there is an  $x$  in  $X$  for which

$$\|y_{p(n,k)} - x\| < (p(n, k))^{-1} \prod_{i=2}^{p(n,k)} 2^{-2i}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left( \prod_{i=1}^j \lambda_{p(n,i)} \right)^{-1} (\lambda_{p(n,j)} y_{p(n,j)} - y_{p(n,j+1)}) \\ &= y_{p(n,1)} - \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \lambda_{p(n,i)} \right)^{-1} y_{p(n,k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $y \in Y_1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| y_{p(n,1)} - y - \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \lambda_{p(n,i)} \right)^{-1} x \right\| &= \|y_{p(n,k)} - x\| \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \lambda_{p(n,i)} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \|y_{p(n,k)} - x\| \prod_{i=2}^k 2^{2p(n,i)} \\ &\leq \|y_{p(n,k)} - x\| \prod_{i=2}^{p(n,k)} 2^{2i} \\ &< (p(n, k))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p(n, k)$  can be arbitrarily large, this completes the proof of Theorem 3.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be quasicomplements in a separable reflexive Banach space  $B$ . If  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$  are not complements for  $B$ , then  $X$  has a quasicomplement  $Y_2$  for which  $Y \subset Y_2$  and  $Y$  has infinite codimension in  $Y_2$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X'$  and  $Y'$  be the sets of continuous linear functionals that are identically zero on  $\bar{X}$  or  $\bar{Y}$ , respectively. Then  $X' \cap Y' = \{0\}$ , since  $X + Y$  is dense in  $B$ . Also,  $\text{Cl}(X' + Y') = B^*$ , since otherwise there would be a nonzero  $z$  in  $B$  such that  $f(z) = 0$  if  $f \in \text{Cl}(X' + Y')$  and this would imply that  $z \in \bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}$ . Thus  $X'$  and  $Y'$  are quasicomplements in  $B^*$  (also see [6, p. 85, Corollary]). Let  $\omega \in B \cap \sim(\bar{X} + \bar{Y})$ . Then it is easy to see that  $\bar{X}$  and  $\text{lin}(\bar{Y}, \omega)$  are quasicomplements for  $T$ , so that  $X'$  has a quasicomplement that is a proper subspace of  $Y'$ . This implies that  $X'$  and  $Y'$  are not complements and it then follows from Theorem 3 that there is a closed subspace  $Z'$

of  $Y'$  that is of infinite codimension in  $Y'$  and such that  $Z'$  is a quasicomplement for  $X'$  in  $B^*$ . Let  $Y_2$  be the set of all  $y$  in  $B$  with  $f(y) = 0$  for all  $f$  in  $Z'$ . Since  $B$  is reflexive,  $Y$  has infinite codimension in  $Y_2$ . Since  $X'$  and  $Z'$  are quasicomplements for  $B^*$  and  $B$  is reflexive, it follows from the first part of this proof that  $X$  and  $Y_2$  are quasicomplements for  $B$ .

It is not clear whether reflexivity is needed in Theorem 4. However, it seems reasonable to conjecture that the theorem is false without this hypothesis.

The next corollary follows from Corollary 1 and Theorems 3 and 4.

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be subspace of a separable reflexive Banach space. If  $X \cap Y$  is infinite dimensional and  $\text{Cl}(X + Y)$  has infinite codimension, then there are subspaces  $X_1, X_2, Y_1, \tilde{Y}$  and  $Y_2$  such that*

(i)  $X_1 \subset X \cap Y$  and  $X_1$  has infinite codimension in  $X \cap Y, \text{Cl}(X + Y) \subset X_2$  and  $\text{Cl}(X + Y)$  has infinite codimension in  $X_2$  ;

(ii)  $Y$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $\tilde{Y}$ ,

(iii)  $Y_1 \subset \tilde{Y} \subset Y_2, Y_1$  has infinite codimension in  $\tilde{Y}$ , and  $\tilde{Y}$  has infinite codimension in  $Y_2$  ;

(iv)  $U$  and  $V$  are quasicomplements if  $X_1 \subset U \subset X_2$  and  $Y_1 \subset V \subset Y_2$  .

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $B$  be a separable Banach space that has an infinite dimensional subspace isomorphic to Hilbert space. Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there are subspaces  $H_1, H_2, H_3$ , and  $H_4$  isomorphic to Hilbert space and such that  $H_1$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $H_4, H_1 \subset H_2, H_3 \subset H_4, H_1$  has infinite codimension in  $H_2, H_3$  has infinite codimension in  $H_4$ , and subspaces  $X$  and  $Y$  of  $B$  are quasicomplements if*

$$H_1 \subset X \subset H_2 \text{ and } H_3 \subset Y \subset H_4 .$$

*Proof.* Let  $H_2$  be any subspace that is isomorphic to Hilbert space and has infinite codimension in  $B$ , and let  $H_1$  be any infinite-dimensional subspace of  $H_2$  that has infinite codimension in  $H_2$ . It then follows from Corollary 2 that there is a subspace  $H_4$  such that  $H_1$  is  $\epsilon$ -near to  $H_4$  and  $H_4$  is a quasicomplement for all  $X$  such that

$$H_1 \subset X \subset H_2 .$$

Theorem 3 gives a subspace  $H_3$  of  $H_4$  that has infinite codimension in  $H_4$  and is a quasicomplement for  $H_1$ . If  $H_1 \subset X \subset H_2$  and  $H_3 \subset Y \subset H_4$ , then  $X \cap Y \subset H_2 \cap H_4 = \{0\}$ . Since  $X + Y \supset H_1 + H_3, X + Y$  is dense in  $B$  and  $X$  and  $Y$  are quasicomplements.

## REFERENCES

1. S. BANACH, "Théorie des Opérations Linéaires," Monografie Matematyczne, Warsaw, 1932.
2. M. M. GRINBLIUM, Certain théorèmes sur la base dans un espace du type (B), *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* **31** (1941), 428-432.
3. V. I. GURARII AND M. I. KADEC, Minimal systems and quasicomplements in Banach spaces, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **3** (1962), 966-968.
4. J. LINDENSTRAUSS, On subspaces of Banach spaces without quasicomplements, *Israel J. Math.* **6** (1968), 36-38.
5. G. MACKEY, Note on a theorem of Murray, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **52** (1946), 322-325.
6. F. J. MURRAY, Quasi-complements and closed projections in reflexive Banach spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **58** (1945), 77-95.
7. H. P. ROSENTHAL, On complemented and quasi-complemented subspaces of quotients of  $C(S)$  for Stonian  $S$ , *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **60** (1968), 1165-1169.